PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

1

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: STEVEN J. WEISSBURG 238 MAIN STREET, SUITE 303 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

1 6 MAR 2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

LYON 1001

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US04/12363

22 April 2004 (22.04.2004)

International filing date (day/month/year)

23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)

Applicant

RH LYON CORP

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed invention is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (January 2004) Authorized officer

Brian T. Pendleton

Telephone No. (703) 305-4700

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2006

STEVEN J. WEISSBURG

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US04/1263 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003) 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)	Applicant's or agent's file reference LYON 1001	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): HORR 3/00 and US Cl.: 381/96	International application No.	International filing date (day/monta	h/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
IPC(7): H04R 3/00 and US C1:: 381/96			23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)		
Applicant RH LYON CORP 1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet. 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: a (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in tem 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II	International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC			
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of	IPC(7): H04R 3/00 and US Cl.: 381/96				
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet. 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: a (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	Applicant				
Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of	RH LYON CORP				
a(sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the report Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
a.	2. This REPORT consists of	a total of sheets, including	g this cover sheet.		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	3. This report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, comprising	g:		
of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	a. (sent to the applica	nt and to the International Burea	au) a total of sheets, as follows:		
amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b.	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule				
	amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as				
indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the				
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	4. This report contains indica	tions relating to the following ite	ems:		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	K-3				
applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	Box No. II Pr	iority			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	· —		regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	Box No. IV La	ck of unity of invention			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
	Box No. VII Ce	rtain defects in the international	application		
Date of submission of the demand	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the		tional application		
Date of completion of the demand	Date of submission of the demand	Date of	f completion of this report		
14 April 2005 (14.04.2005) 01 February 2006 (01.02.2006)	14 April 2005 (14.04.2005)	01 Febr	uary 2006 (01.02.2006)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US /Authorized officer		10	7 1 17		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US			1 / N / N / N / N		
P.O. Box 1450	P.O. Box 1450		rendiction (C.)		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. (703) 305-4700	9	Telepho	ne No. (703) 303-4700		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2006

STEVEN J. WEISSBURG

INTERESTANTACIONALA I	DDDI IMBARA DAZ	DEPODT OF	S DATERT	DIL ITS
INTERNATIONAL	PRELIMINARY	KEPOKI O	YPAICNIA	DILLI

International application No.	
PCT/US04/12363	

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:
the international application in the language in which it was filed.
a translation of the international application into English, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-63 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the claims:
pages 64-93 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages 1-14 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/12363

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YE	
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YE	
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YE	
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	
Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)				
ease See Continuation Sheet				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/12363

Supple	mental	Box
--------	--------	-----

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

V.1. Reasoned Statements:

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-74, 76, 78, 83-134, 136, 138, 144

The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 75, 77, 79-82, 135, 137, 139-143, 145-149

The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 2-5, 16-20, 43-46, 56-60, 78, 87-89, 93, 94, 98-105, 119-126, 138

The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1, 6-15, 21-42, 47-55, 61-77, 79-86, 90-92, 95-97, 106-118, 127-137, 139-149

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-149 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1, 6-15, 21, 23-28, 33, 35-42, 47-55, 61-66, 70-74, 86, 90-92, 95-97, 106-113, 116-118, 127-133 and 149 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Ouyang et al, US Patent Application Publication US 2003/0044025 in view of Hobelsberger, US Patent 6,408,078. Ouyang et al disclose a system comprising a plurality (array) of sensors 12, 20; a loudspeaker 40 and a first signal processor 11. Ouyang does not disclose a second signal processor for generating an output signal to the loudspeaker that is proportional to the estimate of the pressure derivative along the sensor axis. Hobelsberger '078 discloses a system for absorbing noise comprising a controller 13 (second signal processor), amplifier 12 and transducer 10. The noise is absorb by utilizing the derivate of the pressure picked up by sensor 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the references for the purpose of absorbing noise in the Ouyang device, which would improve speech comprehension during a telephone conversation. Independent claims 1, 42, 86, 95, 116, and 149 are met. The dependent claims are met by inherency.

Claims 29-32, 67,68,114,115 and 134 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Stamegna, US Patent 6,085,078. The combination does not explicitly disclose that it is part of a cellular telephone system which uses RF signals. However, it was well known and obvious that cellular telephones suffer from the background noise problems and voice propagation problems and use directional microphones (as evidenced by Stamegna) therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to embody the combination of Ouyang and Hobelsberger '078 in a cellular phone.

Claims 33 and 69 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Stonikas et al., US Patent 6,393,130. The combination does not specify a shroud in the apparatus. Stonikas teaches that a shroud is used to reduce feedback between a telephone microphone and speaker. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Ouyang and Hobelsberger '078 to include a shroud to improve performance.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/12363

Supplemental Box

Claim 22 is an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Yang, US Patent 5,914,912. Yang discloses a sonar array post processor which uses a hydrophone for directional beamforming. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a hydrophone in the combination of Ouyang and Hobelsberger for the purpose of producing a directional microphone signal underwater.

Claims 75, 77, 79-82, 135, 137, 139-143, 145-148 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hobelsberger, US Patent 5,812,686. Hobelsberger discloses a device for simulation of an acoustic impedance comprising acceleration sensor 5, loudspeaker 4 and signal processors 8 and 9.

Claims 85 and 144 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hobelsberger in view of Yang. Hobelsberger does not disclose that the system is utilized in water. Yang discloses a system which is underwater and uses sonar microphones. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the system of Hobelsberger in water.

Claims 76 and 136 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hobelsberger '686 in view of Ouyang. Hobelsberger does not disclose an array of pressure sensors. However, it was suggested by Hobelsberger '686 to use the apparatus to eliminate standing waves inside housings. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the apparatus in the Ouyang device for the purpose of decreasing voice signals from the user.

Claim 143 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Yang. Yang discloses a sonar array post processor which uses a hydrophone for directional beamforming. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a hydrophone in the combination of Ouyang and Hobelsberger for the purpose of producing a directional microphone signal underwater.

Claims 2-5, 16-20, 43-46, 56-60, 78, 83, 84, 87-89, 93, 94, 98-105, 119-126 and 138 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a third and fourth processor or a comparator coupled to the output of the signal processor that generates the weighted pressure sum signal.